ORIGINAL

8/19/04

0000010936

Ш

COMMISSIONERS
MARC SPITZER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES



SECURITIES DIVISION 1300 West Washington, Third Floor Phoenix, AZ 85007 TELEPHONE: (602) 542-4242 FAX: (602) 594-7470

E-MAIL: accsec@ccsd.cc.state.az.us

615

BRIAN C. McNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Marc Spitzer, Chairman

William A. Mundell

Jeff Hatch-Miller Mike Gleason

Kristin K. Mayes

FROM:

Matthew J. Neubert

Director of Securities

DATE:

August 6, 2004

RE:

Proposed Order re: Integrowth Financial Group and Roger A. Sande, S-03505A-

DOCKETED BY

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AUG 0 6 2004

04-0000

CC:

Brian C. McNeil, Executive Secretary

Attached is a proposed Default Order against Respondents Integrowth Financial Group ("Intergrowth") and Roger Alvin Sande ("Sande"). The Order requires Integrowth and Sande to cease and desist their activity, to pay restitution of \$1,966,524, and to pay a penalty of \$50,000.

Integrowth and Sande, residents of California, recruited the other Respondents to open a branch office of Integrowth in Phoenix in 1999. The Respondents originally sold viatical investments, but then branched out into other unregistered and fraudulent securities. Of the securities sold in Phoenix, Integrowth and Sande located and provided two of the products to be sold: viatical investments and a Ponzi scheme named called Chemical Trust. Integrowth and Sande received override commissions on all investments sold by the Phoenix office. Combined, Respondents sold \$1,110,482 investments in viatical contracts to 34 investors and \$856,042 of investments in Chemical Trust to at least 20 investors.

The viatical investments were through an entity known as Future First Financial Group ("Future First"). Future First and its vice-president were indicted by the state of Florida for 81 counts of grand theft and one count of organized fraud in connection with the marketing of fraudulently obtained policies. After Future First defaulted on its management responsibilities with respect to the viatical policies, investors were left with the choice of making additional payments to keep the policies in effect or allowing policies to lapse due to nonpayment of premiums. Some Future First viatical policies were found not to have actual underlying insurance policies.

The Chemical Trust investors were told that Chemical Trust was a membership investment plan that generated large returns through real estate and bond transactions. The Securities and Exchange Commission later filed a complaint against Chemical Trust and others alleging that the money invested with them was misappropriated and sent to offshore bank accounts. The SEC found, in a classic Ponzi scheme fashion, Chemical Trust used new investor funds to pay interest to existing investors. Subsequently, a preliminary injunction and final judgment was issued against the defendants and a receiver appointed to attempt to collect assets. On June 30, 2000, the ACC also entered an Order against Chemical Trust and others, finding that they violated the Arizona Securities Act.

On October 29, 2001, Sande was arrested in California on 38 felony counts of theft and unlicensed sales of viaticals, allegedly defrauding investors of over \$2.7 million. On November 19, 2003, Sande was sentenced to seven years and four months in prison. He currently resides in a California prison.

The Order finds that Integrowth and Sande violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 and 44-1842 by selling unregistered securities while being unlicensed. It also finds that they committed numerous violations of A.R.S. § 44-1991 by, among other matters, failing to disclose risk, failing to provide disclosure statements, prospectuses or financial statements, providing inaccurate or fraudulent statements and failing to disclose other securities orders against Respondents.

Integrowth and Sande, although served, have chosen to disregard the Commission's Notice and have not requested a hearing on the matter. The Securities Division recommends the Commission enter an order against them for the full amount of losses suffered by the investors who purchased products through them. Such an order is appropriate as Integrowth and Sande brought the idea of selling these fraudulent products into Arizona, recruited the salesmen to sell them and helped establish the Arizona sales office that lead to investor losses.

Originator:

Mark Dinell

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

In the matter of

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

2021

22

23

24

2526

MARC SPITZER, Chairman

COMMISSIONERS

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON

KRISTIN K. MAYES

FOUNTAIN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

DOCKET NO. S-03505A-04-0000

DECISION NO.

DOCKET NO. 5-05505A-04-0000

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER OF RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AGAINST RESPONDENTS INTEGROWTH FINANCIAL GROUP AND ROGER ALVIN SANDE

c/o DAVID A. FAZIO 3616 West Cortez Phoenix, Arizona 85029 INTEGROWTH FINANCIAL GROUP C/O ROGER ALVIN SANDE CDC # V06974 P.O. Box 2210 Susanville, California 96130 RICHARD A. FANDRICH 11424 North 25th Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85029 DAVID A. and DEBORAH FAZIO 3616 West Cortez Phoenix, Arizona 85029 DONALD and HELEN ABERNATHY 2323 North Central Avenue, #803 Phoenix, Arizona, 85004 STEPHEN A. and JANE DOE HILTBRAND 2156 E. Estrella Circle Mesa, Arizona 85202 ROGER ALVIN SANDE CDC # V06974 P.O. Box 2210 Susanville, California 96130

Respondents.

On May 7, 2004, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order

to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties and for Other Affirmative Relief ("Notice") with respect to RESPONDENTS INTERGROWTH FINANCIAL GROUP ('INTEGROWTH") and ROGER ALVIN SANDE("SANDE"). The Division served the Notice on INTEGROWTH and SANDE via personal service on June 2, 2004. The Notice specified that the INTEGROWTH and SANDE would be afforded an opportunity for an administrative hearing regarding this matter upon filing a written request with Docket Control of the Commission within ten days of receipt of the Notice. INTEGROWTH and SANDE failed to request a hearing within the required time.

I.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. INTEGROWTH FINANCIAL GROUP ("INTEGROWTH") is an entity controlled by Roger A. Sande, who currently resides at CDC # V06974, P.O. Box 2210, Susanville, California 96130.
- 2. ROGER ALVIN SANDE ("SANDE") currently resides at CDC # V06974, P.O. Box 2210, Susanville, California 96130.
- 3. Neither INTEGROWTH nor SANDE were registered with the Division as a broker or a securities salesman.
- 4. In 1999, INTEGROWTH and SANDE recruited RESPONDENTS RICHARD A. FANDRICH ("FANDRICH"), DONALD ABERNATHY ("ABERNATHY"), DAVID A. FAZIO ("FAZIO") and STEPHEN A. HILTBRAND ("HILTBRAND") (collectively "the INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS") to start a branch office of INTEGROWTH in Phoenix. SANDE told the INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS that INTEGROWTH was his company. The purpose of the company was to sell viatical and other investment opportunities to members of the public in Arizona. SANDE told the INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS that INTEGROWTH marketed viatical policies. SANDE agreed with the INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS that INTEGROWTH

would pay all expenses incurred in the sale of the viaticals and would pay the INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS a 7% commission on each viatical policy they sold.

- 5. In June 1999, the INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS formed FOUNTAIN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC ("FCM"), and continued their operations under its name. The INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS and FCM (collectively the "FCM RESPONDENTS") continued to sell viatical policies, just as they had with INTEGROWTH. INTEGROWTH or SANDE continued to receive an override commission on all products sold by the FCM RESPONDENTS.
- 6. Both INTEGROWTH and FCM ran advertisements in Phoenix newspapers, offering investments with returns as high as 40%. Once investors called, INTEGROWTH, SANDE and the FCM RESPONDENTS (collectively "RESPONDENTS") attempted to sell them the investments.

The Viatical Policies

- 7. From at least January 1999 through at least June 2000, RESPONDENTS offered and sold securities in the form of viatical settlement contracts and investment contracts to Arizona investors. A viatical settlement contract involves the purchase of an interest in the proceeds from a life insurance policy of a terminally ill individual. Various viatical companies purchase the policies at a discount and re-sell the benefits to investors at less than the full face value. When the policy matures, that is when the insured dies, the investor receives the full face value as return of investment plus profit.
- 8. All viatical policies sold by RESPONDENTS were on behalf of Future First Financial Group ("Future First") of Pointe Verda Beach, Florida. RESPONDENTS told investors that the only risk involved with the purchase of viatical policies was the risk that the insured would die at a later date, thereby reducing the expected return. They informed investors that returns could be as high as 100%, with the investment being safe and guaranteed.

ees and commissions payable

- 9. Investors did not receive medical information on the insured whose policy they purchased. Rather, they received a short summary from a medical doctor, simply describing the life expectancy of the insured. RESPONDENTS never checked and thus did not inform investors that the doctor who wrote the medical summary was a Florida cosmetic doctor. Investors were told that Future First viatical policies were 100% correct in their medical assessments with no insured living past their expected date of death.
- 10. Investors were also informed that they would never have to pay any fees or other payments after they purchased the viatical policy.
- 11. On or about February 4, 2000, Future First and its vice-president were indicted by the state of Florida for 81 counts of grand theft and one count of organized fraud in connection with the marketing of fraudulently obtained policies valued at \$6,900,000. After Future First defaulted on its management responsibilities with respect to the viatical policies, investors were left with the choice of making additional payments to keep the policies in effect or allowing policies to lapse due to nonpayment of premiums. Some Future First viatical policies were found not to have actual underlying insurance policies.
- 12. RESPONDENTS failed to provide full disclosure regarding the investment including risk, disclosure statements, prospectuses, financial statements or RESPONDENTS' own lack of due diligence in investigating the investment. RESPONDENTS failed to provide certain material information to investors about Future First, including but not limited to past operations, balance sheets, statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows that would reflect the financial position of these entities. RESPONDENTS distributed literature that misrepresented the investment as a "no risk" opportunity. RESPONDENTS failed to provide investors with certain material information about the use of investor proceeds, such as the cost to purchase the policy, the fees and commissions payable to them, medical advisors, or any other participants in the program.

13.

securities in the form of viatical settlement contracts and investment contracts to at least 34

Arizona investors, who invested a total of at least \$1,110,482.

Chemical Trust Investment Contract

From January 1999 through at least June 2000, RESPONDENTS offered and sold

- 14. Beginning 1999, RESPONDENTS began offering the Chemical Trust investment. Investors were told that Chemical Trust was a "Members Only Investment Trust" located in West Palm Beach, Florida. Agents, such as RESPONDENTS, were instructed to market the investment to investors at a minimum of \$10,000 per contract for 12 months or more. RESPONDENTS were given authority to offer as much as 25% interest for each investment. Of that 25%, RESPONDENTS were able to choose how much to offer to investors as interest and how much they would keep for their commissions for selling the investment.
- 15. Investors were told that the investments are guaranteed two ways. First, the investments are guaranteed by Chemical Trust which allegedly held \$450,000,000 in assets. Second, the investments were guaranteed by a surety payment bond totaling "in excess of \$6 billion dollars" that was provided "for 100% of their principal amount invested" at no cost to the investor. The surety payment bond was allegedly provided by U. S. Guarantee Corporation located in Phoenix, Arizona. In fact, U. S. Guarantee Corporation is not licensed in Arizona as a surety insurer. USGC allegedly had assets of \$2,415,142,120, which backed up the bond guaranteeing the investment. Those funds turned out not to exist.
- 16. RESPONDENTS informed investors that Chemical Trust had been in business for 14 years. Chemical Trust allegedly made profits by purchasing U.S. Treasury notes and distressed property at discount, selling for an immediate profit.
- 17. On January 7, 2000, the SEC filed a complaint against Chemical Trust, USGC, and others alleging that the money invested with them was misappropriated and sent to offshore bank

accounts. It also alleged that Chemical Trust represented to investors that their funds would be used to purchase U.S. Treasury notes and distressed properties, and the investment was 100 percent guaranteed through the security bond with U.S. Guarantee. According to the SEC's complaint, Chemical had not purchased any U.S. Treasury notes or distressed properties, and investor funds were not secured. The complaint alleges that, in a classic Ponzi scheme fashion, Chemical Trust used new investor funds to pay interest to existing investors, in a Ponzi scheme. Subsequently, a preliminary injunction and final judgment was issued against the defendants and a receiver appointed to attempt to collect assets.

- 18. On June 30, 2000, the ACC entered an Order against Chemical Trust and others, finding that they violated the Arizona Securities Act. *See In re Alliance Trust, at al.*, DOCKET NO. S-03363A-99-0000.
- 19. RESPONDENTS sold at least \$856,042 of investments in Chemical Trust to at least 20 investors.

The Other Securities Orders

- 20. In 1996, the Missouri Commissioner of Securities issued an order against ABERNATHY for violation of its securities laws.
- 21. On September 28, 1999, the Iowa Securities Bureau issued an order against INTEGROWTH and ABERNATHY for violation of its securities laws for their sale of the Chemical Trust products.
- 22. On August 24, 1999, the North Dakota Commissioner of Securities issued an order against INTEGROWTH and HILTBRAND for violations of its securities laws.
- 23. On October 29, 2001, SANDE was arrested on 38 felony counts of theft and unlicensed sales of viaticals, allegedly defrauding investors of over \$2.7 million. On November

19, 2003, SANDE was sentenced to seven years and four months in prison, in addition to paying \$1,453,929.56 in restitution.

- 24. On November 11, 2003, the Wisconsin Department issued an order for fraud in the sale of securities against FCM, ABERNATHY, FAZIO and FANDRICH.
- 25. RESPONDENTS did not inform any investors of any of the Orders against them, nor of any of the Orders against the companies whose investments they sold.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- 2. INTEGROWTH and SANDE offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).
- 3. INTEGROWTH and SANDE violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.
- 4. INTEGROWTH and SANDE violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as dealers or salesmen nor exempt from registration.
- 5. INTEGROWTH and SANDE violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by offering or selling securities within or from Arizona by (a) employing a device, scheme or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and (c) engaging in transactions, practices or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
- 6. SANDE directly or indirectly controlled INTEGROWTH within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1999. Therefore, he is liable to the same extent as INTEGROWTH for its violations of A.R.S. § 44-1991.
- 7. INTEGROWTH and SANDE's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.

8. INTEGROWTH and SANDE's conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.

9. INTEGROWTH and SANDE's conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-2036.

III.

ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that INTEGROWTH and SANDE, their agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that INTEGROWTH and SANDE shall, jointly and severally, pay restitution to investors shown on the records of the Commission in the amount of \$1,966,524, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of each investment until paid in full. INTEGROWTH and SANDE shall be entitled to setoffs for restitution paid to investors and verified by the Director of Securities. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Arizona Attorney General. The Arizona Attorney General shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to investors. If all investors are paid in full, any excess funds shall revert to the state of Arizona. If INTEGROWTH and SANDE do not comply with this order of restitution, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable without notice or demand.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that INTEGROWTH and SANDE, jointly and severally, shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$50,000, payable to the "State of Arizona." Payment shall be made in full by cashier's check or money

·				
order on the date of th	is Order. If IN	NTEGROWTH and S	ANDE do not c	comply with this order
administrative penalti	es,			
any outstanding balan	ice may be de	emed in default and	shall be imme	ediately due and paya
without notice or dem	and.			
IT IS FURTHI	ER ORDERED	that this Order shall I	become effectiv	e immediately.
ВҮ	ORDER OF T	HE ARIZONA COR	PORATION CO	OMMISSION
CHAIRMAN	C	COMMISSIONER		COMMISSIONER
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
CC	MMISSIONEF	₹	COMMISSIC	NER
				RIAN C. McNEIL, Arizona Corporation
	•	Commission, have he	ereunto set my	hand and caused the
		official sealfc of the Capitol, in the City		to be affixed at the this day of
	- - -		, 2004	
			78.4	
		BRIAN C. McNEIL Executive Secretary		
DIGGENER				
DISSENT				
DISSENT				
This document is avail				
Assistant to the Executymcfarlin@cc.state.az	• •	voice phone number 6	502-542-3931, I	E-mail
(md)				
(mu)				